are used. The Zil'berman result for oscillation in the conductivity  $\tilde{\sigma}_{11}$  matches the experimental values for conditions somewhat intermediate between the two and three band models, but the Zil'berman result for oscillation in the thermoelectric coefficient  $\tilde{\epsilon}_{11}$ " favors the two-band model. The right order of magnitude for oscillation in the Nernst-Ettinghausen effect  $\epsilon_{12}$ " is obtained from the oscillations in the density of states.

### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We are indebted to Dr. N. H. Zebouni and Dr. J. D. Childress for their help and useful discussions, to the members of the low-temperature group for their assistance, and most particularly to Dr. R. Gillingham, S.J., and H. J. Mackey who have kept us informed of their results.

PHYSICAL REVIEW VOLUME 132, NUMBER 1 1 1 OCTOBER 1963

# Equation of State of Alkali Halides (NaCl)\*

M. ARENSTEINf *Autonetics, A Division of North American Aviation, Inc., Anaheim, California* 

AND

R. D. HATCHER AND J. NEUBERGER *Queens College, Flushing, New York*  (Received 28 March 1963; revised manuscript received 17 June 1963)

The equation of state of NaCl is given using the Kellermann model of NaCl as well as a modified model making use of a repulsive potential energy of the Born-Mayer form *Ae~Br .* The Griineisen parameter  $\gamma_i = -d \ln \nu_i / d \ln V$ , where  $\nu_i$  is the normal mode frequency and V is the volume, is derived by the development of a perturbation method in the volume. This is then used where needed to calculate all thermodynamic quantities of interest using an IBM 7090. A spectrum of 11 454 frequencies and  $\gamma_i$ 's are used in finding these quantities rather than the approximations made previously of utilizing the elastic constants and the moment expansion  $\gamma(S) = \sum_i \gamma_i v_i^s / \sum_i^r v_i^s = -(1/S)d \ln \langle v_i^s \rangle / d \ln V$ , where  $\langle v_i^s \rangle$  is the Sth moment of the frequency distribution. To check previous work by Barron and Blackman  $\gamma(0)$ ,  $\gamma(2)$ ,  $\gamma(1)$ , and  $\gamma(-3)$  were calculated where  $\gamma(0) = \gamma_{\infty}$ , the high-temperature  $\gamma$ , and  $\gamma(-3) = \gamma_0$ , the low temperature  $\gamma$ . Fair agreement is found for  $\gamma(-3)$ , whereas the deviation in  $\gamma(2)$  is high.

### I. INTRODUCTION

THE equation of state of a real crystal such as<br>gators. Barron<sup>1,2</sup> and Blackman<sup>3</sup> using a Kellermann HE equation of state of a real crystal such as NaCl has been considered by several investimodel<sup>4</sup> of NaCl and assuming equal masses for simplicity have recently obtained values for the lowtemperature  $(T \rightarrow 0)$  Grüneisen<sup>5</sup> parameter  $\gamma_0$ . Barron was able to find a high-temperature  $(T\gtrsim \theta)$ , where  $\theta$ is the Debye temperature) Grüneisen parameter  $\gamma_{\infty}$  by defining a weighted  $\gamma$  in terms of the moments of the frequency spectrum with  $\langle v_j^s \rangle$  the *S*th moment

$$
\gamma(S) = \sum_{i} \gamma_{i} \nu_{i}^{s} / \sum_{i} \nu_{i}^{s} = -\left(\frac{1}{S}\right) d \ln \langle \nu_{j}^{s} \rangle / d \ln V, \quad (1)
$$

where

$$
\gamma_i = -d \ln \nu_i / d \ln V \tag{2}
$$

and  $\nu_i$  is a normal vibration frequency,  $V$  is the volume, and the sum over *i* here and in all such expressions is to be taken over all normal modes of vibration. He found expressions for  $\gamma(2)$  which he maintained should be approximately equal to  $\gamma(0) \equiv \gamma_{\infty}$  and by making use of the elastic constants found a  $\gamma_0=\gamma(-3)$ . It was found that deviations from Griineisen's relation should occur at 0.39. Barron then compared his work to Born's<sup>6</sup> and Slater's.<sup>7</sup> Slater's formula, which is derived from a consideration of the elastic constants, is

$$
\gamma_s = \frac{1}{2}d\ln(\chi V^{-1/3})/d\ln V,\tag{3}
$$

where  $x$  is the compressibility and V is the volume. This formula was derived under two assumptions, one being that Poisson's ratio is constant and the other that there is a characteristic temperature given by Debye's expression for an isotropic continuum,

$$
\Theta = \frac{h}{k} \left(\frac{9N}{4\pi V}\right)^{1/3} \left(\frac{1}{C_i^3} + \frac{2}{C_i^3}\right)^{-1/3},\tag{4}
$$

<sup>\*</sup> Work performed at New York University and partially supported by the U. S. Air Force.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Much of the material included in this paper was used in a<br>
Ph.D. dissertation at New York University (1963).<br>
<sup>1</sup> T. H. K. Barron, Phil. Mag. 46, 720 (1955).<br>
<sup>2</sup> T. H. K. Barron, Ann. Phys. (N. Y.) 1, 77 (1957).<br>
<sup>3</sup>

E. Griineisen, in *Handbuck der Physik,* edited by A. Geiger and Karl Scheel (Julius Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1926), Vol. 10, p. 22.

<sup>6</sup> M. Born, *Atomtheorie des Festen Zustandes* (B.G. Teubner, Leipzig, 1923). <sup>7</sup> J. C. Slater, *Introduction to Chemical Physics* (McGraw-Hill

Book Company, Inc., New York, 1939), Chap. XIV.

		the contract of the contract of Barron		Blackman		Born	Arenstein et al.		Yates and Panter
n $\gamma$ o	7.6		8.3	1.15	8.55 1.46	1.47	7.6 1.002	8.3 1.071	Experimental
$\gamma(2)$ $\gamma_{\infty}$	1.60	1.67	1.72	1.50	1.76	2.2	1.379 1.480	1.498 1.595	1.59

TABLE I. Grijneisen  $\gamma$ 's for NaCl found by various investigators,  $n$  is the exponent in the repulsive potential energy.

where *N* is the total number of particles and  $C_l$  and  $C_t$ are the longitudinal and transverse elastic wave velocities, respectively. Slater's formula gives us directly  $\gamma_s = \frac{1}{6}(n+6)$ , where *n* is the exponent in the repulsive potential energy. The  $\gamma$ 's found by these various investigators are given in Table I.

In our study of NaCl we use both the Kellermann model of NaCl and a modified Kellermann model. The Kellermann model is modified by assuming that the repulsive term is the Born-Mayer potential  $Ae^{-Br}$ , where *A* and *B* are constants. It is summed over nearest neighbors and put into the form used by Kellermann. This potential was picked because of its use in various problems using interatomic potentials. However, since we do not have as yet good values for *A* and *B* we consider them independent of *r* and vary them so as to give comparisons with experimental results. Using perturbation theory<sup>8</sup> we are able to derive a formula for the  $\gamma_i$ 's. Thus, we calculated with an IBM 7090 all physical quantities of interest using the following formulas, substituting the derived  $\gamma_i$  where needed. For pressure as a function of volume and temperature  $\lceil \text{from } p = -\left(\frac{\partial F}{\partial V}\right)T$ , where *F* is the free energy

$$
P = -\frac{dU_0}{dV} + \frac{1}{V} \sum_i \gamma_i E_i, \qquad (5)
$$

 $\alpha$ <sup>r</sup>

$$
P = -\frac{dU_0'}{dV} + \frac{1}{V} \sum_{i} \gamma_i E_i',
$$
 (6)

where  $U_0$  is the static lattice energy  $-\alpha e^2/r + 6Ae^{-Br}$ ;  $\alpha$  is Madelung's constant;  $U_0'$  is the static lattice energy plus the zero-point energy  $\sum_i \left(\frac{1}{2} h v_i\right)$ ;

 $E_i = \{h\nu_i/2 + h\nu_i/[\exp(h\nu_i/kT) - 1]\}$ 

and

$$
E_i' = h\nu_i / \left[ \exp(h\nu_i / kT) - 1 \right].
$$

We can express Eqs. (5) and (6) in the Mie-Griineisen form if we define two temperature- and volumedependent  $\gamma$ 's,  $\gamma(V,T) = \sum_i \gamma_i E_i / \sum_i E_i$  and  $\gamma'(V,T)$  $=\sum_i \gamma_i E_i'/\sum_i E_i'$ . For compressibility we have

$$
\frac{1}{\chi} = V \frac{d^2 U_0}{dV^2} - \frac{1}{V} \sum_i \gamma_i E_i + \frac{1}{V} \sum_i \frac{\partial}{\partial V} (\gamma_i E_i). \tag{7}
$$

8 E. M. Arase and R. D. Hatcher, J. Chem. Phys. 33, 1704 (1960).

For thermal expansion we have

$$
\beta = \frac{\chi}{V} \sum_{i} \gamma_{i} \partial E_{i} / \partial T \tag{8}
$$

and we compare this to the Griineisen relation

$$
\beta = \frac{\chi \gamma^{\prime\prime}}{V} \sum_{i} \partial E_{i}/\partial T.
$$
 (9)

Since the specific heat at constant volume  $C_V$  was needed for the thermal expansion it has been evaluated at different temperatures and volumes. We have

$$
C_V = 8.6368 \times 10^{16} \sum_i \partial E_i / \partial T \tag{10}
$$

(where the factor before the summation sign gives us the units cal/g-mole- $\rm ^{\circ}C$ ).

Comparisons with experimental results are made where possible. Since many of the thermodynamic quantities are derived from indirect measurements (for example, the relationships used to relate the actual measurements to compressibility or to specific heat at constant volume make use of the thermal expansion and Grüneisen's relation), they cannot be exactly correct for low temperature  $(T \le 0.3\Theta)$ .

#### II. KELLERMANN AND MODIFIED KELLERMANN MODEL OF NaCl

Kellermann assumed in his model that the energy per cell  $\phi$  could be written in the form

$$
\phi = -\alpha e^2/r + c/r^n, \qquad (11)
$$

where *r* is the interionic separation and *c* and *n* are some constants which are eliminated in the coupling coefficients by applying the equilibrium and compressibility conditions. The coupling coefficients are split into Coulomb and repulsive terms. For the Coulomb terms we have

$$
\begin{bmatrix} kk' \\ xy \end{bmatrix}^{\circ} = \frac{e^2}{V_a} G \begin{pmatrix} \sigma \\ xy \end{pmatrix}, \qquad (12)
$$

where  $\sigma$  is the wave vector; *x* and  $y=(x,y,z)$ ; *k* is the basis index and is 1 and 2 for the NaCl crystal; *G* is a dimensionless quantity; *e* is the charge of the electron;  $V_a = 2r_0^3$ , is the volume of a unit cell, where  $r_0$  is the nearest neighbor equilibrium distance. For the repulsive

and

terms we have

$$
\begin{aligned}\n\begin{bmatrix}\nkk \\
xy\n\end{bmatrix}^R &= -\frac{e^2}{V_a}(R+2S)\delta_{xy},\\
\begin{bmatrix}\nk' \\
xy\n\end{bmatrix}^R &= \frac{e^2}{V_a}[R\cos 2\pi\sigma_x r_0 + S(\cos 2\pi\sigma_y r_0\\
&+\cos 2\pi\sigma_z r_0)\rbrack \delta_{xy},\n\end{aligned} \tag{13}
$$

 $R = 12a4/a$ 

$$
R = 12r_0^4 / \chi_0 e^2 + \frac{4}{3}\alpha ,
$$
  
\n
$$
S = -\frac{2}{3}\alpha ,
$$
 (14)

and  $x_0$  is the isothermal compressibility.

It can be seen from Eq. (14) that the only quantities in the coupling coefficients varying with a change in volume are  $r_0$  and the isothermal compressibility  $x_0$ .

For our purposes in investigating the equation of state and then finding the compressibility from it we do not eliminate the parameters in the repulsive term. For the Born-Mayer potential energy form we have

$$
\phi = -\alpha e^2/r + A e^{-Br},\tag{15}
$$

where *A* and *B* are adjustable parameters. Putting the repulsive term in the Kellermann bracket form, we have

$$
\begin{bmatrix} kk'\\ xy \end{bmatrix}^R = \sum_l (\phi_{kk'}^l)_{xy} \exp(2\pi i \sigma \mathbf{r}_{kk'}^l). \tag{16}
$$

When  $k' \neq k$  the sum extends over 6 nearest neighbors given by vectors  $r_0(\pm 1, 0, 0)$ ,  $r_0(0, \pm 1, 0)$  and  $r_0(0, 0, \pm 1)$ . Thus, we find

$$
\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \ x & x \end{bmatrix}^{R} \frac{V_a}{e^2} = \frac{4BAr_0^2e^{-Br_0}}{e^2}
$$
  
×[Br\_0 cos $\pi q_x$ -(cos $\pi q_y$ +cos $\pi q_z$ )], (17)

$$
\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ x & x \end{bmatrix}^R \frac{V_a}{e^2} = \frac{4BAr_0^3e^{-Br_0}}{e^2} \left[2 - Br_0\right].
$$

It may be seen from Eq. (17) that the only quantity varying with volume is  $r_0$ .

#### Letting<sup>9</sup> III. PERTURBED FREQUENCIES

$$
a_{ij}{}^{0} = - \frac{1}{(m_{k}m_{k'})^{1/2}} \begin{bmatrix} k & k' \\ x & y \end{bmatrix},
$$

we write the equation for the ionic displacements for NaCl as

$$
(\omega_m^0)^2 U_{im}^0 - \sum_j a_{ij}^0 U_{jm}^0 = 0, \qquad (18)
$$

where the eigenfrequency  $\omega^0$ , the eigenvector  $U^0$ , and

the coupling coefficients  $a_{ij}$ <sup>0</sup> are the unperturbed quantities.

To eliminate the volume from the Coulomb terms and thus to have only the repulsive terms depending on the volume, we write (where  $V_0$  is the volume of a basic cell)

$$
b_{ij}^0 = (V_0/e^2) a_{ij}^0, \qquad (19a)
$$

$$
=(V_0/e^2)(\omega_m{}^0)^2.
$$
 (19b)

Rewriting Eq. (18), we have

 $\lambda_m^0$ =

$$
\lambda_m{}^0 U_{im}{}^0 - \sum_j b_{ij}{}^0 U_{jm}{}^0 = 0. \tag{20}
$$

To find the eigenfrequencies at a new volume we must express the new eigenfrequencies in terms of the unperturbed frequencies and eigenvectors at the initial volume. This is possible since the eigenfrequencies belonging to the same wave vector change but little with small changes in volume. In addition, this method may be extended to include second neighbor repulsive forces as a perturbation. Thus, we have

$$
{}^{3r}, \qquad (15) \qquad \lambda_m' U_{im'} - \sum_j b_{ij'} U_{jm'} = 0, \qquad (21)
$$

where  $\lambda_m' = V'(\omega_m')^2/e^2$ ; V' is the new volume and  $\omega_m'$ is the new frequency.

Since the perturbed quantities vary but little from the unperturbed ones, we may expand the perturbed eigenvalues, eigenvectors, and coupling coefficients in a series

$$
U_m' = U_m{}^0 + \epsilon U_m{}^1 + \epsilon^2 U_m{}^2 + \cdots, \n\lambda_m' = \lambda_m{}^0 + \epsilon \lambda_m{}^1 + \epsilon^2 \lambda_m{}^2 + \cdots, \nb_{ij}' = b_{ij}{}^0 + \epsilon b_{ij}{}^1 + \cdots.
$$
\n(22)

The zero-order terms result in Eq. (20) while the first-order equation is

$$
\lambda_m{}^0 U_{im}{}^1 + \lambda_m{}^1 U_{im}{}^0 - \sum_j \left( b_{ij}{}^0 U_{jm}{}^1 + b_{ij}{}^1 U_{jm}{}^0 \right) = 0. \tag{23}
$$

In the case where  $\lambda_m^0$  is nondegenerate, even though some other eigenvalues belonging to the complete set of eigenvectors may be degenerate, we may expand the perturbed eigenvectors in terms of the unperturbed set such that

$$
U_{im} = \sum_{k} g_k^m U_{ik}^0, \qquad (24)
$$

where  $g_k^m$  is a constant, and substituting Eq. (24) into Eq. (23) we obtain

$$
\sum_{k} \lambda_{m}^{0} g_{k}^{m} U_{ik}^{0} + \lambda_{m}^{1} U_{im}^{0} = \sum_{j} b_{ij}^{0} \sum_{k} g_{k}^{m} U_{jk}^{0}
$$
  
+
$$
\sum_{j} b_{ij}^{1} U_{jm}^{0}. \quad (25)
$$

In the term  $\sum_{i} b_{ij}^0 \sum_{k} g_k{}^m U_{jk}^0$  the summations may be interchanged and since from Eq. (20)  $\sum_i b_{ij}{}^0 U_{jm}{}^0$  $= \lambda_m^0 U_{im}^0$  we obtain after substituting in Eq. (25)

$$
\sum_{k} \lambda_{m}^{0} g_{k}{}^{m} U_{ik}{}^{0} + \lambda_{m}{}^{1} U_{im}{}^{0} = \sum_{k} g_{k}{}^{m} \lambda_{k}{}^{0} U_{ik}{}^{0} + \sum_{j} b_{ij}{}^{1} U_{jm}{}^{0}. \tag{26}
$$

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> We introduce for convenience new notation  $i=1, 2, \cdots, 6$ standing for *(Kx) = lx, 2x, ly, 2y,* Is, 2z.

Multiplying Eq. (26) by  $U_{ni}$ <sup>0</sup>, since the vectors  $U_{ni}$ <sup>0</sup> and are real, and summing over *i*, making use of the orthonormality of the unperturbed eigenvectors  $U_{n_i}$ <sup>0</sup> we  $b_{12}$ <sup>1</sup> obtain  $(m_1m_2)^T$ 

$$
\lambda_m^{-1}\delta_{mn} + (\lambda_m^{-0} - \lambda_n^{-0})g_n^m = \sum_{i,j} U_{ni}^{0}b_{ij}^{1}U_{jm}^{0} = B_{nm}.\tag{27}
$$

If  $m=n$  we obtain

$$
\lambda_m^{-1} = \sum_{i,j} U_{mi}{}^0 b_{ij}{}^1 U_{jm}{}^0 = B_{mm}{}', \tag{28}
$$

Thus, we may write for the perturbed circular  $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$  For the Kellermann model we obtain frequencies,

$$
\omega'^2 = \frac{V_0}{V} \omega_0^2 + \frac{e^2}{V} B_{mm'}.
$$
\n(29) 
$$
\omega^2 = \frac{r_0^3 \omega_0^2}{r^3} + \frac{e^2}{2r^3}
$$

Equations (28) and (29) are valid for degenerate eigenvalues only if  $B_{nm}'=0$ . In this event the perturbed  $\Gamma$ *eigenvalues are again degenerate. For the NaCl crystal* the degeneracy is due to the symmetry of the crystal itself. The degeneracy would not be removed by going to higher order terms in the perturbation series. Hence, the above equations may also be used to find the perturbed frequencies for the degenerate case. turbed frequencies for the degenerate case.

For the Kellermann model of NaCl we have  $(m_1m_0)^{1/2}$   $(m_1m_0)^{1/2}$ 

$$
B_{mm'} = \Delta R \left\{ \frac{1}{m_1} \left[ (U_{1m}^0)^2 + (U_{3m}^0)^2 + (U_{5m}^0)^2 \right] \right. \\ \left. + \frac{1}{m_2} \left[ (U_{2m}^0)^2 + (U_{4m}^0)^2 + (U_{6m}^0)^2 \right] \right. \\ \left. + \frac{1}{m_2} \left[ (U_{2m}^0)^2 + (U_{4m}^0)^2 + (U_{6m}^0)^2 \right] \right. \\ \left. + \frac{2}{(m_1m_2)^{1/2}} \left[ (U_{1m}^0 U_{2m}^0 \cos \pi q_x + U_{3m}^0 U_{4m}^0 \cos \pi q_y \right] \right. \\ \left. + U_{6m}^0 U_{5m}^0 \cos \pi q_z \right\} , \qquad (38)
$$
and  

$$
+ U_{6m}^0 U_{5m}^0 \cos \pi q_z \right\} , \qquad (39)
$$
and  

$$
\frac{d\omega_i^2}{dr} = \frac{-3r_0\omega_0^2}{r^4} \frac{3e^2}{2r^4} \left[ \frac{12r^4}{e^2\chi} - \frac{12r_0^4}{e^2\chi_0} \right] \Gamma
$$

$$
\Delta R = 12r^4/e^2\chi - 12r_0^4/e^2\chi_0, \qquad (31)
$$
 Thus we write for  $\alpha$ , at  $\zeta = \zeta$ .

J

where  $r$  and  $\chi$  are the new lattice constant and compressibility, respectively.

For the modified Kellermann model of NaCl we have

$$
B_{mm'} = b_{11}^{1} \left\{ (U_{1m}^{0})^{2} + (U_{3m}^{0})^{2} + (U_{5m}^{0})^{2} \right\}
$$
stat  
\n
$$
+ \frac{m_{1}}{m_{2}} \left[ (U_{2m}^{0})^{2} + (U_{4m}^{0})^{2} + (U_{6m}^{0})^{2} \right]
$$
state  
\n
$$
+ 2 \left[ b_{12}^{1} U_{1m}^{0} U_{2m}^{0} + b_{34}^{1} U_{3m}^{0} U_{4m}^{0} \right]
$$
0.1  
\n
$$
+ b_{56}^{1} U_{5m}^{0} U_{6m}^{0} \right],
$$
 (32)  
\nwhere

$$
b_{11} = \frac{1}{m_1} [R(r) + 2S(r) - R(r_0) - 2S(r_0)], \quad (33)
$$
\nIf we assume that  $\gamma_s$  eq

$$
R(r_0) = 4B^2 Ar_0^3 e^{-Br_0}/e^2,
$$
  
\n
$$
S(r_0) = -4BA r_0^3 e^{-Br_0}/e^2,
$$
  
\n
$$
S(r_0) = -4BA r_0^3 e^{-Br_0}/e^2,
$$
  
\n(34) 
$$
2\Gamma/\omega_0^2 = \chi_0/3r_0.
$$

e 
$$
b_{12} = -\frac{1}{(m_1 m_2)^{1/2}} [(R(r) - R(r_0)) \cos \pi q_x
$$
  
  $+ (S(r) - S(r_0)) (\cos \pi q_y + \cos \pi q_z)],$  (35)

r terms for  $b_{34}$ <sup>1</sup> and  $b_{56}$ <sup>1</sup>.

### A <sup>m</sup> *—2-/i,3 Umi b{j U j <sup>m</sup> =JJmm* • *{^OJ* THE T-'S

For the Kellermann model we obtain

$$
\frac{V_0}{V}\omega_0^2 + \frac{e^2}{V}B_{mm'}. \qquad (29) \qquad \omega^2 = \frac{r_0^3\omega_0^2}{r^3} + \frac{e^2}{2r^3} \left[\frac{12r^4}{e^2\chi} - \frac{12r_0^4}{e^3\chi_0}\right] \Gamma, \qquad (36)
$$

$$
\Gamma = \frac{1}{m_1} \left[ (U_{1m}{}^{0})^2 + (U_{3m}{}^{0})^2 + (U_{5m}{}^{0})^2 \right]
$$
  
+ 
$$
\frac{1}{m_2} \left[ (U_{2m}{}^{0})^2 + (U_{4m}{}^{0})^2 + (U_{6m}{}^{0})^2 \right]
$$
  
+ 
$$
\frac{1}{(m_1m_2)^{1/2}} (U_{1m}{}^{0}U_{2m}{}^{0} \cos \pi q_x + U_{3m}{}^{0}U_{4m}{}^{0} \cos \pi q_y + U_{5m}{}^{0}U_{6m}{}^{0} \cos \pi q_z). \quad (37)
$$

I *nil* We have then from Eq. (2)

$$
\gamma_i = \frac{-V}{2\omega_i^2} \frac{dr}{dV} \frac{d\omega_i^2}{dr}.
$$
 (38)

$$
\frac{d\omega_i^2}{dr} = \frac{-3r_0\omega_0^2}{r^4} - \frac{3e^2}{2r^4} \left[ \frac{12r^4}{e^2x} - \frac{12r_0^4}{e^2x} \right] \Gamma
$$
\n
$$
R \text{ as defined in Eq. (14):} \qquad + \frac{e^2}{2r^3} \left[ \frac{48r^3}{e^2x} - \frac{12r^4}{e^2x} \left( \frac{\partial x}{\partial r} \right) \right] \Gamma. \qquad (39)
$$

Thus, we write for  $\gamma_{ik}$  at  $r = r_0$ 

$$
\gamma_{ik} = \frac{1}{2} \left\{ 1 - \frac{2}{\omega_0^2} \left[ \frac{4r_0}{\chi_0} - \frac{r_0^2}{\chi_0^2} \left( \frac{\partial \chi}{\partial r} \right)_T \right] \Gamma \right\} \,. \tag{40}
$$

 $2^{2}$  This formula for  $\gamma_{ik}$  can be used in an equation of state and a self-consistent technique developed to get the compressibility, the thermal expansion, and the *l\* other quantities of physical interest at different temperatures.

> is of interest to compare this  $\gamma_{ik}$  to Slater's  $\gamma_s$ . Thus,  $\gamma_s$  can be written as

$$
\gamma_s = \frac{1}{2} \frac{d \ln \chi^{V^{-1/3}}}{d \ln V} = \frac{1}{2} \left\{ 1 - \frac{\chi_0}{3r_0} \left[ \frac{4r_0}{\chi_0} - \frac{r_0^2}{\chi_0^2} \left( \frac{\partial \chi}{\partial r} \right)_T \right] \right\}.
$$
 (41)

 $F^2 A x^3 - B r^6 / a^2$  frequencies, the condition for equality is

$$
2\Gamma/\omega_0^2 = \chi_0/3r_0. \tag{42}
$$

To see that this assumption is approximately correct we pick a particular direction in the crystal such that  $q_x = q_y = q_z = 0$  and for simplicity we assume equal  $\overline{\text{masses}}$  taking the average mass in the equation for  $\Gamma$ . This gives  $\Gamma = 1/\bar{m}$  and  $\omega_0^2 = 6r_0 / \langle m\chi_0 \rangle$ . Using  $r_0 = 2.8$  $\times 10^{-8}$  cm,  $\chi_0 = 4.0 \times 10^{-12}$  cm<sup>2</sup>/dyn, and  $\tilde{m} = 4.8 \times 10^{-24}$ g, we have  $\omega_0 = 3.0 \times 10^{13}$  cycles/sec which is close to the resonance frequency. Thus,  $\gamma_{ik}$  at the same resonance frequency  $3.0 \times 10^{13}$  cycles/sec should be equal to  $\gamma_s$ .

The  $\gamma_i$ 's for the modified Kellermann model  $(\gamma_{i m})$ have been found for a total of 11454 frequencies obtained for the first-Brillouin zone and it is to be expected that the  $\gamma_{im}$  should be approximately equal to the  $\gamma_{ik}$  for the Kellermann model so that the  $\gamma_{im}$ for  $3 \times 10^{13}$  cycles/sec should be approximately equal to  $\gamma_s$ . We find for  $A = 1.474 \times 10^{-9}$  erg,  $B = 3.048 \times 10^8$ cm<sup>-1</sup>, and  $R = 2.814 \times 10^{-8}$  cm that  $\gamma_i$  equals 2.44 and this is sufficiently close to  $\gamma_s$  which equals 2.33 for  $n=8$  to justify our assumption that  $\overline{\gamma_{ik}} = \gamma_s$  at the resonance frequency.

For the modified Kellermann model we obtain

$$
\omega^2 = \frac{{r_0}^3 \omega_0^2}{r^3} + \frac{e^2}{2r^3} B_{mm'} \tag{43}
$$

(where  $B_{mm}$ <sup>'</sup> is defined in Sec. C). We find for  $\gamma_{im}$ 

$$
\gamma_{im} = \frac{1}{2} \left[ 1 - \frac{e^2}{6r_0^2 \omega_0^2} \frac{dB_{mm'}}{dr} \right],\tag{44}
$$

where

$$
\frac{dB_{mm'}}{dr} = R' \Biggl\{ \frac{1}{m_1} \Biggl[ (U_{1m}^0)^2 + (U_{3m}^0)^2 + (U_{5m}^0)^2 \Biggr] \n+ \frac{1}{m_2} \Biggl[ (U_{2m}^0)^2 + (U_{4m}^0)^2 + (U_{6m}^0)^2 \Biggr] \n- \frac{2}{(m_1m_2)^{1/2}} \Biggl( \cos \pi q_x U_{1m}^0 U_{2m}^0 + \cos \pi q_y U_{3m}^0 U_{4m}^0 \Biggr) \n+ 2S' \Biggl\{ \frac{1}{m_1} \Biggl[ (U_{1m}^0)^2 + (U_{3m}^0)^2 + (U_{5m}^0)^2 \Biggr] \n+ \frac{1}{m_2} \Biggl[ (U_{2m}^0)^2 + (U_{4m}^0)^2 + (U_{6m}^0)^2 \Biggr] \n- \frac{1}{(m_1m_2)^{1/2}} \Biggl[ \Biggl( \cos \pi q_y + \cos \pi q_z \Biggr) U_{1m}^0 U_{2m}^0 \Biggr) \n+ \Biggl( \cos \pi q_x + \cos \pi q_z \Biggr) U_{3m}^0 U_{4m}^0
$$

$$
+(\cos\pi q_x+\cos\pi q_y)U_{5m}{}^0U_{6m}{}^0]\bigg\}, \quad (45)
$$

FIG. 1. Grüneisen parameter  $\gamma_i$  versus  $P_{x,0,0}$  for a lattice separation of 2.814  $\times 10^{-8}$  cm and with  $A = 1.474 \times 10^{-9}$  erg;  $B = 3.048 \times 10^8$  cm<sup>-1</sup> Four curves corresponding to the six branches of the crystal with the transverse modes being double. Dashed lines indicate extrapola-tion to the (0,0,0) values.

.

with

$$
R' = (4B^2 A/e^2)(3r_0^2 - Br_0^3)e^{-Br_0},
$$
  
\n
$$
S' = -(4BA/e^2)(2r_0 - Br_0^2)e^{-Br_0}.
$$
 (46)

 $-0.5$   $- - +$   $-$  4,0,0 8,0,0 12,0,0 Px,0,0

T.O  $\overline{\mathbf{r}}$ 

L.O.

T.A

#### **V. CHOICE OF PARAMETERS**

2.6

 $2.5$ 

 $2.4$  $2.3$ 

 $\gamma_i$  2.2

 $1.0$ 

 $0.5$  $\circ$ 

The calculations depend on the choice for parameters *A* and *B* in the repulsive term, and it is found that many different sets of *A* and *B* will give good results for compressibility, for example, but poor results for the resonance frequency. We chose, therefore, several sets of *A* and *B* and compared results. For one set we took the values found in Born and Huang<sup>10</sup> with a nearest neighbor distance of  $2.814 \times 10^{-8}$  cm and  $A = 1.474 \times 10^{-9}$  erg,  $B = 3.048 \times 10^8$  cm<sup>-1</sup> which give good results for the cohesive energy and compressibility. For another set we took  $A = 2.550 \times 10^{-9}$  erg and  $B = 3.291 \times 10^8$  cm<sup>-1</sup> which were chosen to give a better value of the resonance frequency as well as the compressibility. Other sets of *A* and *B* are chosen, for example,  $B = 3.198 \times 10$  cm<sup>-1</sup> while  $A = 2.247 \times 10^{-9}$ , 2.147 $\times$ 10<sup>-9</sup>, and 2.100 $\times$ 10<sup>-9</sup> to see how much the  $\gamma$ 's vary when *B* is held fixed and *A* changes. If we assume the equilibrium condition holds, then we can relate our choices of *B* in the Born-Mayer potential with the exponent *n* in the  $r^{-n}$  repulsive potential energy used by Kellermann. For example,  $B = 3.048 \times 10^{-8}$  cm<sup>-1</sup> gives  $n=7.6$  and  $B=3.291\times10^8$  cm<sup>-1</sup> gives  $n=8.3$ . where, in general,  $n = Br_0 - 1$ .

#### VI. VALUES OF THE *VS*

In our calculations we use the frequencies and the  $\gamma$ 's for 73 choices of the wave vector  $\sigma$  totalling 11 454 frequencies and  $\gamma_i$ 's when weighted by their multiplicities. This was done for different lattice separations and parameters *A* and *B.* Since the program used did not give the correct values for the  $(0,0,0)$  position we have found them by extrapolation as in Fig. 1 where we plotted  $\gamma_i$  against a particular direction in the

<sup>10</sup> M. Born and K. Huang, *Dynamical Theory of Crystal Lattices*  (Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1954), Chap. **I.** 

crystal; namely, the  $\lceil Px00\rceil$  direction, where  $Px$  varies from 12 to 0. We see that the  $\gamma_i$ 's vary over a wide range and, as Blackman<sup>11</sup> and Barron<sup>12</sup> have found, from about  $-\frac{1}{2}$  to about 3. Thus, Grüneisen's<sup>13</sup> contention that they are constant is far from true.

Using the variation of the resonance frequency with nearest neighbor distance (see Table II) we have calculated  $\gamma_i = -\frac{r}{3\omega}(\Delta\omega/\Delta r)$ , where  $\Delta\omega$  is the change of frequency for two different nearest neighbor distances and  $\Delta r$  is the change in the nearest neighbor distance.

This was done for the values  $r_0$ = 2.8100, 2.8140, and 2.8200 giving two values for  $\Delta\omega/\Delta r$  which we averaged to find  $\langle \Delta \omega \rangle_{av}/\Delta r = -7.21$  and thus  $\gamma_i = 2.44$  which agrees exactly with the value found using the equation for  $\gamma_i$  at the peak frequency. Since we also calculated  $\partial \gamma / \partial r$  for each frequency this value was checked the same way. The average value of  $\Delta \gamma / \Delta r$  was  $0.560 \times 10^9$ cm<sup>-1</sup> while the equation gives  $0.5581 \times 10^{9}$  cm<sup>-1</sup>, a deviation of less than  $0.4\%$ .

The values for the various temperature dependent  $\gamma$ 's can be found in Table I and in Tables III-VI. It is of interest to compare these values with those obtained theoretically by Barron<sup>14</sup> and Blackman<sup>15</sup> and with the experimental  $\gamma''$  values found by Yates and Panter.<sup>16</sup> We notice a difference of up to 7% between  $\gamma$ (2) and  $\gamma(0) \equiv \gamma_{\infty}$  for the various sets of *A* and *B* in the above tables. Using Eq. (1) given the second moment for NaCl, (assuming equal mass *M* for the ions)

$$
\langle {v_i}^2\rangle\!=\!1.74\!\bigg(\!\frac{e^2}{12\pi^2 a^3 M}\!\bigg)(n\!-\!1)\!\bigg(\!\frac{a}{r_1}\!\bigg)^{n+2}\,,
$$

where *e* is the charge of the electron, *a* is the nearest neighbor distance at equilibrium, *rx* is the new distance, and *n* is the exponent of the repulsive potential energy,

TABLE II. Resonance frequency and  $\gamma_i$ .

	$A = 1.474 \times 10^{-9}$ erg; $B = 3.048 \times 10^8$ cm <sup>-1</sup>		$A = 2.550 \times 10^{-9}$ erg; $B = 3.291 \times 10^8$ cm <sup>-1</sup>		
$r_0$ $(10^{-8}$ cm)	ω $(10^{13} \text{cps})$	$\gamma_i$	ω $(10^{13} \text{ cps})$	$\gamma_i$	
2.7886	2.9656	2.3112	3.1094	2.4606	
2.7893	2.9604	2.3146	3.1036	2.4643	
2.7944	2.9229	2.3395	3.0617	2.4917	
2.8000	2.8819	2.368	3.0160	2.5228	
2.8036	2.856	2.386	2.9867	2.5433	
2.8088	2.8177	2.414	2.9445	2.5738	
2.8100	2.8090	2.4206	2.9348	2.5810	
2.8140	2.780	2.4427	2.9025	2.6054	
2.8200	2.7367	2.4768	2.8542	2.6432	

11 M. Blackman, Ref. &

TABLE III.  $\gamma(S)$  for different lattice separations  $r_0$ ;  $\gamma'$  for different lattice separations  $r_0$  and for different temperatures *T*.  $A = 2.550 \times 10^{-9}$  erg,  $B = 3.291 \times 10^8$  cm<sup>-1</sup>.

$r_0$						
$(10^{-8}$ cm)	2.7886	2.7893	2.8036	2.8088	2.8140	2.8200
$\gamma(0) \equiv \gamma_{\infty}$	1.5188	1.5207	1.5628	1.5789	1.5954	1.6151
$\gamma(2)$	1.4831	1.4838	1.4916	1.4949	1.4976	1.5010
$\gamma(1)$	1.5305	1.5319	1.5566	1.5664	1.5759	1.5874
$\gamma(-3)$	1.0712		1.1776		1.2534	1.2981
$T$ ( $K$ )						
320	1.5106	1.5129	1.5615	1.5800	1.5990	1.6215
280	1.5086	1.5110	1.5606	1.5795	1.5990	1.6218
200	1.5006	1.5031	1.5562	1.5763	1.5970	1.6214
120	1.4714	1.4738	1.5359	1.5587	1.5830	1.6111
80	1.4131	1.4156	1.4892	1.5154	1.5442	1.5770
60	1.3376	1.3400	1.4242	1.4534	1.4866	1.5237
40	1.1737	1.1748	1.2749	1.3072	1.3480	1.3914
30	1.0382		1.1454		1.2232	1.2696
20	0.89283		1.0025		1.0819	1.1293
15	0.84503		0.95803		1.0396	1.0870
11	0.83122		0.95342		1.0394	1.0898
10			0.95881		1.0472	

Barron<sup>17</sup> found that  $\gamma(2) = \frac{1}{6}(n+2)$ . A high-temperature experimental value quoted by Born<sup>18</sup> and Yates and Panter<sup>19</sup> which is 1.59 is in extremely good agreement with our  $\gamma_{\infty}$  for the  $n=8.3$  case. Apparently the correction for the volume dependence of  $\gamma_i$  and  $\omega_i$  was not taken into account in the calculations of  $\gamma_0$  by Barron and Blackman. In Table I we listed our values of  $\gamma_0$ taking the lattice separation to be  $2.7886 \times 10^{-8}$  cm at 0°K. At a room temperature lattice separation of  $2.8140\times10^{-8}$  cm we have for  $A=2.550\times10^{-9}$  erg,  $B = 3.291 \times 10^8$  cm<sup>-1</sup> that  $\gamma_0 = 1.253$ ; and for  $A = 1.474$  $\times 10^{-9}$  erg,  $B = 3.048 \times 10^8$  cm<sup>-1</sup> that  $\gamma_0 = 1.166$ , giving better agreement with Blackman's results. The experimental values of Yates and Panter<sup>19</sup> are given from 30 to 270°K. Their results are uncertain below 60° and at 60 $\mathrm{K}$  they differ from our values by about 19 $\%$ . In computing their values from other thermal data such as specific heat and compressibility no correction was made considering the new expansion data and they expect an accuracy for their data at low temperatures to within  $15\%$ . The deviations in the low-temperature values may be partially due to the above lack of corrections as well as the experimental uncertainties near and below 60°K. Slightly better comparisons can be expected by varying our *A* and *B* values. Yates and Panter's contention that the predicted numerical values of low-temperature  $\gamma$  be revised does not seem to be justified since their accuracy below  $60^{\circ}$ K is not high. As seen in Tables III and IV  $\gamma'$  and  $\gamma''$  approach a minimum at about 11°K and then rise to the  $\gamma_0 \equiv \gamma(-3)$  value. This was not predicted from previous

<sup>12</sup> T. H. K. Barron, Refs. 1 and 2.

<sup>13</sup> E. Griineisen, Ref. 5. 14 T. H. K. Barron, Refs. 1 and 2.

<sup>15</sup> M. Blackman, Ref. 3. 16 B. Yates and C, H, Panter, Proc. Phys. Soc. (London) 80, 373 (1962).

<sup>17</sup> T. H. K. Barron, Refs. 1 and 2.

<sup>18</sup> M. Born, Ref. 6.

<sup>19</sup> B. Yates and C. H. Panter, Ref. 16,

$r_0$ (10 <sup>-8</sup> cm) $T({}^{\circ}{\rm K})$	2.7886	2.7893	2.8036	2.8088	2.8140	2.8200	$V_{293}$
750					1.5962		
500					1.5971		
400					1.5979		
280	1.5203	1.5224	1.5663	1.5830	1.6002	1.6206	
270							1.59
240	1.5206	1.5227	1.5673	1.5842	1.6017	1.6223	1.57
200	1.5209	1.5230	1.5686	1.5860	1.6038	1.6249	1.54
160	1.5206	1.5228	1.5703	1.5883	1.6069	1.6287	1.53
120	1.5171	1.5194	1.5709	1.5902	1.6102	1.6338	1.51
100	1.5106	1.5130	1.5681	1.5887	1.6101	1.6352	1.49
80	1.4934	1.4960	1.5572	1.5799	1.6036	1.6312	1.42
60	1.4451	1.4481	1.5200	1.5462	1.5740	1.6060	1.22
50	1.3923		1.4755		1.5354	1.5709	1.06
40	1.3005	1.3037	1.3942	1.4264	1.4616	1.5016	0.82
30	1.1485		1.2526		1.3280	1.3729	
20	0.94846		1.0566		1.1353	1.1824	
15	0.86683		0.97559		1.0544	1.1014	
11	0.83150		0.94446		1.0252	1.0731	
10					1.0258		

TABLE IV.  $\gamma''$  for different lattice separations  $r_0$  and for different temperatures  $T$ ;  $\gamma''$  calculated from thermal data by<br>Yates and Panter at 293°K volume.  $A = 2.550 \times 10^{-9}$  erg,  $B = 3.291 \times 10^8$  cm<sup>-1</sup>.

analyses<sup>20</sup> although their experimental values of  $\gamma''$  for LiF seem to indicate a minimum at 120°K. That it occurs at a high temperature for this crystal is probably due to the high value of the Debye temperature in this case. From our data we see verification of Barron's result that  $\gamma'(V,T)$  and  $\gamma''(V,T)$  should decrease significantly below  $0.30 \approx 80^{\circ}$ K. In table V we have

TABLE V.  $\gamma$  for different lattice separations  $r_0$  and for different temperatures *T*.  $A = 2.550 \times 10^{-9}$  ergs;  $B = 3.291 \times 10^{8}$  cm<sup>-1</sup>.

$r_0$ (10 <sup>-8</sup> cm) $T({}^{\circ}{\rm K})$	2.7886	2.8036	2.8100	2.8140	2.8200
280 200 120 80 60 50 40 30 20 15 11 10	1.5139 1.5148 1.5180 1.5208 1.5242 1.5276 1.5298 1.5303 1.5304	1.5506 1.5471 1.5474 1.5489 1.5513 1.5540 1.5560 1.5564 1.5566 1.5566	1.5793 1.5759 1.5682 1.5627 1.5617 1.5644	1.5906 1.5868 1.5780 1.5712 1.5694 1.5698 1.5713 1.5735 1.5753 1.5757 1.5758	1.5946 1.5859 1.5826 1.5824 1.5833 1.5852 1.5869 1.5873 1.5874 1.5874
0	1.5305	1.5566	1.5684	1.5759	1.5874

TABLE VI.  $\gamma(S)$  for different A's and B's ( $r_0 = 2.814 \times 10^{-8}$  cm).



<sup>20</sup> Recent experimental and theoretical work on MnO at low<br>temperatures by S. Ganesan, Phil. Mag. 7, 197 (1962), indicates<br>**a** rise in the values of the Grüneisen parameter. He shows that<br> $\gamma(2) < \gamma(-3)$  and it is certainl

 $\gamma(V,T)$  data tabulated and we find that a minimum occurs at about  $50^{\circ}$ K. It is about here that the zeropoint energy contribution to the thermal expansion becomes significant and the values smoothly tend to the limit  $\gamma(1)$  at 0°K. In Fig. 2 we have  $\gamma''$  versus  $r_0$ at a constant temperature of 280°K where we see that  $\gamma''$  varies fairly linearly with  $r_0$ . Several  $\gamma'$ s were calculated for very high temperatures and we find that  $\gamma$ reaches a maximum at about 300 °K and then decreases slightly tending to its  $\gamma_{\infty} \equiv \gamma(0)$  value. It must be remembered, however, that the change in volume and anharmonic terms at higher temperatures may make a considerable difference here.

#### **VII. COMPRESSIBILITY AND THERMAL EXPANSION**

In Table VII the compressibility for various volumes and temperatures is compared to experimental values,<sup>21</sup> the maximum deviation being about  $4\%$  at low temperatures. As noted before, approximate calculations were used in relating the experimental information to



FIG. 2. Grüneisen parameter  $\gamma''$  versus  $r_0$  at a constant temperature of 280°K for two sets of parameters A and B.

21 W. C. Overton and R. T\ Swim? Phys. Rev. 84, 758 (1951).

$r_0 = 2.800 \times 10^{-8}$ cm $A = 2.550 \times 10^{-9}$ erg	$B = 3.291 \times 10^8$ cm <sup>-1</sup>		$A = 2.550 \times 10^{-9}$ erg $B = 3.291 \times 10^8$ cm <sup>-1</sup>	$A = 1.474 \times 10^{-9}$ erg $B = 3.048 \times 10^8$ cm <sup>-1</sup>	Constant entropy	Experimental compressibility Constant temperature
$T({}^{\circ}{\rm K})$	x	$r_0$ (10 <sup>-8</sup> cm)	$\chi_T$	$\chi_T$	$\chi_S$	$\chi_T$
320 280 240 200 160 120 100 80 60 40	3.9630 3.9625 3.9619 3.9613 3.9606 3.9601 3.9598 3.9595 3.9593 3.9591	2.7886 2.7893 2.7944 2.8000 2.8036 2.8088 2,8100 2.8140 2.8200	3.74 3.76 3.85 3.96 4.04 4.15 4.17 4.26 4.39	3.80 3.81 3.90 4.00 4.07 4.18 4.20 4.28 4.40	3.89 3.90 3.95 3.97 4.01 4.05 4.07	3.89 3.91 4.01 4.06 4.13 4.20 4.27

TABLE VII. Compressibility (in units of 10<sup>-12</sup> cm<sup>2</sup>/dyn) for different temperatures keeping lattice separation  $r_0$  constant and compressibility for different lattice separations keeping temperature constant at 280°K.

the compressibility values so that little more error would be introduced in using our theoretical values of the compressibility to find the thermal expansion. In Table VIII the thermal expansion at various temperatures and lattice separations is given using Eq. (8). Since



FIG. 3. Pressure versus lattice separation *ro* at two constant temperatures.

experimental values of  $\beta$  are found at atmospheric pressure the values of the thermal expansion in our table must be read at the correct lattice separation for a particular temperature in order to compare results.

0.40 0.38 0.36 0.34 0.32 0.30 ! 0.28 **; 0.26 : 0.24 ' 0.22 , 0.20 : 0.18 ! 0.16 | 0.14 ! 0.12**  : o.io 0.08 0.06 0.04 0.02  $\circ_{\overset{\leftarrow}{\mathsf{o}}}$ **" A=l.474 X I0"<sup>9</sup> ERGS** *^ S '* **B=3.048 X I0<sup>8</sup> CM"<sup>1</sup>**  *^ ^*  **- ^^^r^^ro-2.8036 X IO"<sup>8</sup>CM . r <sup>0</sup> s2.8088XI0- <sup>8</sup> CM^^\^ \* r**<sub>o</sub>=2.8IO X I0<sup>-8</sup> CM  $r_{\mathbb{O}}$  = 2.8l4 X 10<sup>-8</sup> CM ( FIG. 4. Pressure versus temperature at various constant lattice separations. **50 100 150 200 250 300**  T°K

Approximate calculations of the lattice separation for a particular temperature using an empirical formula

TABLE VIII. Thermal coefficient of expansion  $\beta$  (10<sup>-5</sup> deg<sup>-1</sup>).  $A = 2.550 \times 10^{-9}$  erg,  $B = 3.291 \times 10^{8}$  cm<sup>-1</sup>.

$r_0$ (10 <sup>-8</sup> cm) $\dot{T}$ (°K)	2.7886	2.7893	2.8036	2.8088	2.8140	2.8200	dv $V_{293}/dT$
320 280 270 240 200 160 120 100 80 60 50 40 30 20 15 11 10	8.0928 7.2022 5.8973 4.0238 2.8816 1.71209 0.72889 0.17116 0.059067 0.019796	10.430 10.291 10.084 9.7553 9.1925 8.1331 7.2406 5.9323 4.0518 1.7274	11.377 11.235 11.023 10.685 10.106 9.0086 8.0757 6.6922 4.6627 3.3933 2.0590 0.89817 0.21482 0.074419 0.025058	11.749 11.606 11.391 11.051 10.465 9.3535 8.4056 6.9940 4.9081 2.1961	12.138 11.993 11.776 11.432 10.840 9.7137 8.7504 7.3100 5.1664 3.8021 2.3415 1.0393 0.25176 0.08734 0.02944 0.021285	12.607 12.460 12.241 11.893 11.293 10.1498 9.1686 7.6946 5.4828 4.0610 2.5226 1.1313 0.27613 0.095848 0.032310	11.75 11.39 10.77 9.96 8.69 7.64 6.03 3.73 2.40 1.17 0.32

$r_0$ (10 <sup>-8</sup> cm) $T({}^{\circ}{\rm K})$	2.7886	2.8036	2.8140	2.8200	Experimental values
280 200 120 100 80 60 50 40 30 20 15	8.9045 7.9593 6.5926 4.6486 3.4553 2.1980 1.0596 0.30130 0.11377	11.2768 10.7127 9.0218 8.1024 6.7618 4.8270 3.6188 2.3240 1.1283 0.31992 0.12004	11.2970 10.7494 9.1016 8.2004 6.8792 4.9537 3.7372 2.4177 1.1811 0.33467 0.12503	9.1469 8.2565 6.9469 5.0279 3.8073 2.4742 1.2137 0.34395 0.12818	8.180 6.820 4.848 3.609 2.297 1.109 0.3124
11 10 C	0.039750	0.04175 0.03017	0.043342 0.031318	0.044345	0.03024 0.003561

TABLE IX. Specific heat at constant volume  $C_v = 8.6368 \times 10^{16} \Sigma_i \ \partial E_i/\partial T$ .  $A = 2.550 \times 10^{-9} \text{ erg}, B = 3.291 \times 10^8 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ 

such as  $\rho = \rho_0 [1 - (1.12 \times 10^{-4} T) - 5 \times 10^{-8} T^2]$  g/cm<sup>3</sup>, where  $\rho_0$  is the density, gives at zero deg  $r_0 = 2.7886$  $\times 10^{-8}$  cm while at room temperatures the lattice separation is  $2.8140 \times 10^{-8}$  cm. Thus, using the approximate values of  $2.7893 \times 10^{-8}$  cm for the lattice separation at  $60^{\circ}$ K our results for  $\beta$  compared to Yates and Panter differ by less than  $9\%$  while at 240°K and a lattice separation of  $2.8088 \times 10^{-8}$  cm they differ by about  $0\%$ . In Table IX the values of  $C_v$  are given for various lattice separations along with some experimental values.<sup>22</sup>

## IX. EQUATION OF STATE CURVES

In Figs. 3 and 4 we have plotted pressure against lattice separation and temperature. In the pressure, lattice separation curve we note that the pressure goes to zero at certain lattice separations indicating the equilibrium position. In the pressure, temperature curve we note that the slope of the curves approach zero and this is as it should be since  $(\partial P/\partial T)_v = \beta/\chi$ where  $\beta$ , the thermal expansion, goes to zero at  $0^{\circ}$ K.

# **X. CONCLUSIONS**

It is seen that perturbation theory leads to a formula for  $\gamma_i$  which is found to be very accurate and of wide applicability. Fairly good results for the physical properties of NaCl are found with  $A = 2.550 \times 10^{-9}$  erg and  $B = 3.291 \times 10^8$  cm<sup>-1</sup> corresponding to an  $n=8.3$ for the Kellermann model. Better results could have been achieved with a better model, one in which nextnearest neighbor interactions were used in calculating the repulsive terms and polarization effects were taken into account. Experimental information below 60°K may indicate the predicted minimum and subsequent increase of values to the  $\gamma(-3)$  value as calculated. The connection found between  $\gamma_i$  and Slater's  $\gamma$  also seems to indicate the validity and usefulness of the perturbation method. It is not clear what effects will occur by introducing anharmonic terms in the potential energy. However, for low temperatures  $(T<\theta)$  we should not expect these terms to be very significant.

The contention that  $\gamma(2) \approx \gamma(0)$  is not a good approximation makes it necessary to calculate  $\gamma(0)$  directly from the spectrum of the  $\gamma_i$  's unless a good interpolation method using  $\gamma(s)$  presents itself. In fact, for NaCl  $\gamma(0) > \gamma(2) > \gamma(4)$  while  $\gamma(2) > \gamma(-3)$  so that in this case we do not have a good interpolation method if  $\gamma(0)$  is unknown.

<sup>22</sup> Private communication to E. Arase from J. A. Morrison, National Research Council, Canada.